

FEBRUARY MIWG ACTION ITEM REVIEW

Contract stipulation for TBC - 91 weeks vs. 24 months?

OPEN:

- Boeing plans on starting the integration cycle at L-24 months
- TBC's request for contract change still pending

 Determine first-time standard tasks that require more than 24 months

- CLOSED:
 - TBC Isogrid fairings
 - LMA none

• Create a working group to address use of SIS, MU Annex, and SPRD in SV acquisition process. EELV trade space?

- MV will work this on an individual basis
- Will be answered under RFP support in EELV User's Guide
- Briefing 29 June, 1515 PDT

Investigate the need for two IPC interfaces

- SIS V6.0 Standard Service, IPC will use 62" bolted interface for 4m or 5m PLF
- 173" bolted interface is a 5m IPC mission-specific option
- Low temperature for transport A/C changed to 65°

Non-IPC SIS Revisions

- Will evaluate requirement for next revision of SIS upon completion of V6.0
- Future revisions may include: IPC/HPC LEO requirements, clocking/location of SEIP, PLF stay-out zones, "clarifications" comments
- Briefing 29 June, 1415 PDT

 Determine the greatest common denominator the LV contractor will provide standard launch service

- DOD Baseline ILS capabilities will be identified in EELV Users' Guide
- Briefing 29 June, 1515 PDT

 Coordinate MIWG/SAWG activities to address range interface issues (especially comm)

- Comm meetings, as needed
- POCs: Lt Bateman
- **Briefing 29 June, 1615**

Establish plan and schedule to address ground operations requirements

- Created Ground Operations/Processing Working Group
- 1st meeting held 24 May, "brainstorm" for topics
- Telecon plan in place
- Next meeting 12 July
- Briefing 30 June, 0800 PDT

Add classified session to next MIWG

CLOSED:

 Session was added but canceled due to lack of classified agenda items

 Establish process for development and use of four-party ICDs, including schedules and contractual ties

- Process and checklist developed to clarify flow of technical information to SV contractors
- Briefing 29 June, 1330 PDT

 Clarify process/mechanism to enable flow of technical information to SV contractors in the pre-integration phase

- Low level of effort questions should be addressed to the EELV SPO
- Issues requiring significant effort / analysis should be brought to EELV SPO for implementation as a special study

 Establish LV vs. SV responsibilities for coordination of Range Safety documentation

- IN WORK:
 - MSPSP schedules established
 - Briefing 30 June, 0830 PDT